Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/810,998 | SU ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| MATTHEW SAMS | 2617 | |

| | MATTHEW SAMS | 2617 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence add | dress |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | ICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, al (with appeal fee) in comp FR 1.114. The reply must b | affidavit, or other evidence, bliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | ter than SIX MONTHS from th | e mailing date of the final reject | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | In which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding a nortened statutory period for re | CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria amount of the fee. The appropr ply originally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | ance with 37 CFR 41.37 m | ust be filed within two month | ns of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37 | '(e)), to avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | · · | | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett | v); | , | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or | or rount of appear by mater | iany roddonig or omipmynig | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of fin | ally rejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | Ion-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [| | | _ |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | will be efficied and all e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | ercome <u>all</u> rejections unde | r appeal and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims | after entry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet | does NOT place the applic | ation in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 | | | |
| | | | |